

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/524,279	02/08/2005	Israel Sar-El	154.01 05 01 NP/US	9992
36131 YORAM TSIV	7590 02/10/201 7ION	1	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 1307			THAKUR, VIREN A	
ISRAEL	PARDES HANNA, 37111 ISRAEL			PAPER NUMBER
			1782	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/10/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

erikav@patent2u.co.il liat@patent2u.co.il

Application No. Applicant(s) SAR-EL ET AL. 10/524.279 Office Action Summary Art Unit Examiner

	VIREN THAKUR	1782					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA . Extension of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.1% after SIX (6) MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. 1. Early not be supported by the support of the communication of the communication of the communication of the communication. 1. Early not by the communication of the	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 3(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ause the application to become ABANDONET	lely filed the mailing date of this co 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 De	<u>cember 2010</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This a	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowand	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Exparte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
·	pario adaylo, reco o.b. 11, 10	0.0.2.0.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2.5 and 7 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1.2.5 and 7</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	have been send and						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	-	d in this National	Stage				
application from the International Bureau							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	f the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(DTO 412)					
1) House of Helefelices Offed (L10-035)	4) Li interview Summary	(110-413)					

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Service (PTC-942).
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date __

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: _____

Application/Control Number: 10/524,279

Art Unit: 1782

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1, 2, 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "wrapping an anvil means along said product." This limitation is NEW MATTER. The specification does not disclose the step of wrapping an anvil means. This is further supported by figures 8 and 14, which show that the anvil is placed adjacent to the food for ultrasonic welding.

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

1111. 1702

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1,2,5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "wrapping an anvil means along said product." In view of applicants' specification, which discloses the anvil as an element which is used for ultrasonic welding of the tag to the band, the claim is not clear as to what is meant by the step of wrapping the anvil.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/524,279

Art Unit: 1782

 Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wenk (US 2183799) in view of Tinklenberg et al. (US 6058639) and in further view of Sjostedt (US 6381890), Stehouwer(US 4407082) and Recchia et al. (US 5509994), and in further view of Ruell (US 4171766), Chamberlain (EP673853), Parlo (US 5429393) and in further view of Raske (DE2604073), Fukami (US 5799375) and Malosse (FR 2463959), for the reasons given in the previous Office Action, mailed June 10, 2010 and in further view of Swett, Jr (US 3021630)

Regarding the new limitation to claim 1 of the positioning of a device for wrapping plastic bands and an anvil means along the product while the product is on a conveyor, at a speed that is substantially equal to the speed of the conveyor upon which the products move" it is noted that the automation to replace a manual activity that would have accomplished the same result would not have provided a patentable distinction over the prior art. In any case, it is noted that the art teaches that it has been conventional to employ conveyors onto which products have been placed, for the purpose of being able to automate the tagging and marking of products. For instance, Swett, Jr. teaches the use of a conveyor for the purpose of moving a food product along to a marking device which applies a tag thereto (see figure 4). Therefore, it would have been within the skill of the ordinarily skilled artisan to have employed an automated system, such as a conveyor belt, to advance the food to a marking station for applying a band and adhering a tag to the band.

Application/Control Number: 10/524,279 Page 5

Art Unit: 1782

 Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claims 1 and 2, above and in further view of Wescombe

(US 6226911), Merle (US 1657865) and Propst et al. (US 3952438), for the reasons

given in the previous Office Action, mailed June 10, 2010.

3. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the

references as applied to claims 1 and 2, above in view of Diamond (BE839165)

and in further view of Sayyadi et al. (US 5425826) and Tamura et al. (US 5947364), $\,$

for the reasons given in the previous Office Action, mailed June 10, 2010.

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed December 10, 2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive, in view of the new grounds of rejection necessitated by the amendments to the claims.

Conclusion

 Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/524,279

Art Unit: 1782

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VIREN THAKUR whose telephone number is (571)272-6694. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on (571)-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/V. T./ Examiner, Art Unit 1782

/Rena L. Dye/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1782